

Original Article



Soil Contamination with *Toxocara* spp. Eggs in Public Parks of Malayer, West of Iran: Implications for Zoonotic Risk

Ahmad Abolghazi^{1,2*}, Mohammad Fallah¹, Hajar Mikailo¹, Bahman Maleki³

¹Department of Medical Parasitology, School of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

²Student Research Committee, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

³Skin Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Article history:

Received: April 24, 2025

Revised: July 2, 2025

Accepted: July 8, 2025

ePublished: September 24, 2025

*Corresponding author:

Ahmad Abolghazi,
Email: ahmadabolghazi@gmail.com
com

Abstract

Background: Toxocariasis is a prevalent zoonotic infection caused by *Toxocara canis* and *Toxocara cati*. This parasite is transmitted via the ingestion of embryonated eggs present in contaminated soil, often through accidental ingestion during outdoor activities. Globally, the average soil contamination rate of *Toxocara* spp. eggs is estimated at 21%, while the corresponding rate has been reported to be 16% in Iran. Given the limited data on *Toxocara* spp. infections in both humans and animals in Malayer and considering the public health importance of toxocariasis, the present study was conducted to evaluate the prevalence of *Toxocara* spp. eggs in soil samples collected from public parks in Malayer.

Methods: A total of 200 soil samples were collected from 10 public parks in Malayer in 2023. The samples were gathered from a depth of 2–5 cm above the soil surface and subsequently transported to the parasitology laboratory for analysis. The environmental conditions of each sampling site were also documented. To detect *Toxocara* spp. eggs, the flotation method with saturated sugar water was used, and the eggs were examined under a light microscope at ×40. The obtained data were analyzed using SPSS.

Results: Of the 200 soil samples analyzed, 16 (8%) tested positive for *Toxocara* spp. eggs, while the remaining 184 samples (92%) were negative. No contamination was detected in two of the ten public parks (20%), whereas the other eight public parks showed varying levels of contamination.

Conclusion: Although the prevalence of *Toxocara* spp. egg contamination in the public parks of Malayer is lower than in other regions, the presence of dogs and cats in the parks poses a potential health risk for toxocariasis to the public.

Keywords: *Toxocara* spp., Park soil, Contamination, Malayer



Please cite this article as follows: Abolghazi A, Fallah M, Mikailo H, Maleki B. Soil contamination with toxocara spp. Eggs in public parks of Malayer, west of Iran: implications for zoonotic risk. Avicenna J Clin Microbiol Infect. 2025;12(3):129-132. doi:10.34172/ajcmi.3660

Introduction

Human toxocariasis is a widespread helminthic infection and a neglected tropical disease. Its transmission cycle continues through direct or indirect contact between humans and dogs or cats (1). Public parks, as frequently visited communal spaces, accommodate a large number of individuals daily who engage in various activities, such as children's play, exercise, and hobbies. Concurrently, the increasing population of stray animals, particularly dogs, as well as the growing tendency to keep pets (e.g., dogs), has led to more frequent interactions between animals and humans in public spaces, such as parks (1,2).

Environmental variables, such as humidity, temperature, and park hygiene, may influence egg survival and transmission dynamics. Among these, certain species of

cestodes and nematodes require direct contact with the soil to complete their life cycles. *Toxocara* spp., as medically important nematodes, depend on soil as an essential environment for the maturation and transmission stages of their life cycle. Definitive hosts for *Toxocara canis* and *Toxocara cati* include canids and felids, particularly stray or pet dogs and cats, which are recognized as key sources of infection to humans (3). These parasites contaminate the environment by shedding eggs that are excreted in the feces of infected animals, leading to the dissemination of eggs in the soil (4,5). The presence of *Toxocara* spp. eggs in the soil is essential for the transmission and pathogenicity of this parasite. *Toxocara* spp. eggs can remain viable in the soil for several years, especially in moist and shaded environments with moderate temperatures. Soil



contamination with *Toxocara* spp. eggs is recognized as a key factor in human infection (6). Humans may accidentally acquire the infection through the ingestion of *Toxocara* spp. eggs with contaminated soil and uncooked vegetables, resulting in a zoonotic disease known as visceral larva migrans (7). Toxocariasis in humans can manifest as visceral larva migrans, ocular larva migrans, or neurological symptoms, such as eosinophilia, hepatomegaly, and visual impairment (7). The widespread presence of stray and domestic animals in public areas, especially unfenced parks, significantly contributes to the risk of soil contamination with helminth (8). A systematic review and meta-analysis indicated that the global prevalence of soil contamination with *Toxocara* spp. eggs exhibits substantial regional variations, with an estimated average of approximately 21%. In contrast, systematic studies report a 16% soil contamination rate in parks in Iran (8,9). Given the relatively frequent occurrence of *Toxocara* spp. eggs in the soil, the close contact between humans and contaminated sources, and the public health significance of identifying local contamination levels, evaluating soil contamination in public parks is essential. To date, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have investigated soil contamination with *Toxocara* spp. eggs in the public parks of Malayer. Therefore, this study aims to assess the extent of soil contamination in public parks in Malayer with *Toxocara* spp. eggs.

Materials and Methods

Using a purposive sampling method, 10 public parks were selected from approximately 55 parks in Malayer from April 2022 to March 2023. The selection aimed to represent various geographic areas of the city (north, south, east, west, and central regions) and was based on factors such as park size, level of public use, and accessibility. None of the ten studied public parks was fenced to prevent animal entry, and all had stray dogs and cats. An equal number



Figure 1. *Toxocara* spp. Eggs in Soil Sample from Public Park, Malayer

of samples (20 per park) were collected from each of the ten parks, using a uniform sampling strategy (200 soil samples, 200 g each, depth 2–5 cm). The samples were air-dried overnight, crushed, and sieved (200 µm and 300 µm), then mixed with distilled water and filtered through triple-layered gauze. After centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 5 minutes, the supernatant was discarded, and the sediment was washed with saline solution. A second centrifugation was followed by mixing with saturated sucrose (specific gravity 1.2 g/cm³) and centrifugation at 15,00 rpm for 15 minutes. The tubes were topped with sucrose and covered with coverslips, and five slides per sample were examined microscopically (100× and 400×). All results and related data were documented for analysis (10).

Results

Out of 200 soil samples, 16 (8%) tested positive for *Toxocara* spp. eggs, while 184 (92%) were negative. Contamination was found in 8 of the 10 public parks, with Khalaban and Bam-e Malayer public parks demonstrating the highest contamination rates (4/20 samples, 20% each). Egg counts per microscopic field ranged from 1–2 to 2–4 eggs. Specifically, 6 (37.5%), 5 (31.25%), 3 (18.75%), and 2 (12.5%) samples had 1–2, 1–3, 1–4, and 2–4 eggs, respectively (Figure 1). Seasonal variation was noted, with contamination peaking in summer (9 samples) while being the lowest in winter (1 sample) (Table 1).

Discussion

Toxocariasis, a significant zoonotic disease, is becoming an increasing public health concern due to the expanding stray dog population, the growing popularity of pet ownership (particularly dogs and cats), and the absence of distinct clinical symptoms in humans (11,12). Environmental parameters, such as temperature and soil moisture, were recorded, and the samples were processed promptly to minimize loss of parasite viability. Furthermore, the main transmission routes include the ingestion of embryonated eggs through contact with contaminated soil, direct contact with dogs and cats, consumption of contaminated raw vegetables, and geophagia in children (13,14). The access of stray dogs to public parks in Malayer is facilitated by the lack of fences around these public parks, coupled with their proximity to rural areas, orchards, and agricultural lands. As a result, parks have become both recreational spaces and shelters for stray dogs and cats (15). In the current study, *Toxocara* spp. eggs were found in 8% of soil samples collected from public parks in Malayer. Comparable studies conducted in different regions of Iran and other countries have

Table 1. Prevalence of *Toxocara* spp. Eggs in Soil Samples From Public Parks in Malayer

Malayer Region	Number Parks Examined	Number Positive parks (%)	Number eggs Recovered (200 g)	Number Positive Parks (%) Based on Season			
				Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter
1	5 (50%)	4 (80%)	3	15%	55%	24%	6%
2	5 (50%)	4 (80%)	2	17%	51%	23%	9%

reported varying prevalence rates of *Toxocara* spp. eggs in soil samples (16, 17). A recent study performed in Yasuj demonstrated a 7% contamination rate in the soils of urban parks (18), while another study in Urmia indicated an infection rate of 7.8% (19). In Shiraz, 6.3% of the samples were positive. The degree of contamination varied across different locations and seasons. In our study, the maximum number of eggs observed per positive sample was 4, which is lower than the results reported in some similar investigations. Variations in egg counts (1–4 per microscopic field) may reflect differences in the intensity of local contamination, which could correspond to the frequency of defecation by infected animals in specific areas. For instance, the results of a study performed in Yasuj revealed up to five eggs per 100 g of soil, which conforms to our findings. In a study conducted in Prague, up to 12 eggs per 100 g of soil were found, with a mean of 6.2 ± 3.2 eggs (20). A Spanish report detected 16.7 eggs per 100 g of soil (21). The higher egg counts in these studies may reflect a greater intensity and prevalence of contamination in those areas. The contamination rate observed in our study is relatively consistent with the findings reported in certain Iranian cities, such as Yasuj (7%), Shiraz (6.3%), and Urmia (7.8%), as well as with reports from other countries, including Ireland (6.5%), Argentina (7.2%), and London (6.3%) (9,17). In contrast, significantly higher contamination rates have been observed in Khorramabad (63.6%), Isfahan (28.6%), and Abadan (63.3%). Similarly, several countries, including Turkey (63%), Spain (67%), Brazil (53%), Japan (92%), and Germany (78%), have confirmed notably higher levels of contamination (9,16,17,22). The lower contamination rate (8%) in Malayer may be due to a relatively lower population of stray animals, climatic factors unfavorable for egg survival, or better public hygiene practices compared to highly contaminated regions. Several factors can explain these discrepancies, including the local population of stray dogs, regional infection rates among dogs and cats, climatic conditions such as temperature and humidity, soil type, and the availability of suitable environments for stray animals in public spaces, open agricultural lands, and unfenced parks. Contamination peaked during the summer and declined in the winter. This variation may be related to seasonal differences in temperature, humidity, and increased human and animal activity during warmer months. Additionally, the timing of sample collection and the quality of laboratory procedures may play a role. Warmer temperatures and higher humidity during summer may enhance the development and survival of *Toxocara* eggs, while cold winter conditions may reduce egg viability, contributing to seasonal variation. The absence of fencing in all studied parks likely facilitates the entry of stray animals, thereby increasing the risk of soil contamination with *Toxocara* spp. eggs. Cultural differences in pet ownership practices between Iran and other countries can also provide a reasonable explanation for the observed variations in

contamination rates.

Conclusion

The detection of *Toxocara* spp. eggs in 8% of park soil samples in Malayer highlights a public health risk. The findings corroborate those of similar studies in Iran and abroad, though prevalence varies due to factors like climate, stray animal populations, and pet ownership behaviors. Public health strategies, such as monitoring and controlling stray animal populations, regularly deworming the pets, and implementing soil decontamination protocols in parks, are essential to mitigate the risk of toxocariasis.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Student Research Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran, for their valuable support and assistance throughout the course of this study.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: Ahmad Abolghazi, Hajar Mikailo, Bahman Maleki.

Data curation: Ahmad Abolghazi, Hajar Mikailo.

Formal analysis: Ahmad Abolghazi.

Funding acquisition: Ahmad Abolghazi, Hajar Mikailo, Bahman Maleki.

Investigation: Ahmad Abolghazi.

Methodology: Ahmad Abolghazi, Hajar Mikailo, Mohammad Fallah.

Project administration: Ahmad Abolghazi.

Resources: Ahmad Abolghazi.

Software: Ahmad Abolghazi.

Supervision: Mohammad Fallah.

Validation: Mohammad Fallah, Bahman Maleki.

Visualization: Mohammad Fallah.

Writing—original draft: Ahmad Abolghazi, Hajar Mikailo, Bahman Maleki, Mohammad Fallah.

Writing—review & editing: Ahmad Abolghazi.

Competing Interests

We declare no competing interests.

Ethical Approval

Not applicable.

Funding

None.

References

1. Woodruff AW. Toxocariasis. Br Med J. 1970;3(5724):663-9. doi: [10.1136/bmj.3.5724.663](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.3.5724.663).
2. Magnaval JF, Glickman LT, Dorchie P, Morassin B. Highlights of human toxocariasis. Korean J Parasitol. 2001;39(1):1-11. doi: [10.3347/kjp.2001.39.1.1](https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2001.39.1.1).
3. Martínez-Barbabosa I, Vázquez Tsuji O, Cabello RR, Cárdenas EM, Chasin OA. The prevalence of *Toxocara cati* in domestic cats in Mexico City. Vet Parasitol. 2003;114(1):43-9. doi: [10.1016/s0304-4017\(03\)00038-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4017(03)00038-4).
4. Gillespie SH. The epidemiology of *Toxocara canis*. Parasitol Today. 1988;4(6):180-2. doi: [10.1016/0169-4758\(88\)90156-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758(88)90156-1).
5. Overgaauw PA. Aspects of *Toxocara* epidemiology: toxocarosis in dogs and cats. Crit Rev Microbiol. 1997;23(3):233-51. doi: [10.3109/10408419709115138](https://doi.org/10.3109/10408419709115138).
6. Ma G, Holland CV, Wang T, Hofmann A, Fan CK, Maizels RM,

- et al. Human toxocariasis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2018;18(1):e14-24. doi: [10.1016/s1473-3099\(17\)30331-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(17)30331-6).
7. Pawlowski Z. Toxocariasis in humans: clinical expression and treatment dilemma. *J Helminthol*. 2001;75(4):299-305. doi: [10.1017/s0022149x01000464](https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022149x01000464).
8. Maleki B, Khorshidi A, Gorgipour M, Mirzapour A, Majidiani H, Foroutan M. Prevalence of *Toxocara* spp. eggs in soil of public areas in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Alex J Med*. 2018;54(2):97-101. doi: [10.1016/j.ajme.2017.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajme.2017.06.001).
9. Fakhri Y, Gasser RB, Rostami A, Fan CK, Ghasemi SM, Javanian M, et al. *Toxocara* eggs in public places worldwide - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Pollut*. 2018;242(Pt B):1467-75. doi: [10.1016/j.envpol.2018.07.087](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.07.087).
10. Maraghi S, Mazhab Jafari K, Sadjjadi SM, Latifi SM, Zibaei M. Study on the contamination of Abadan public parks soil with *Toxocara* spp. eggs. *J Environ Health Sci Eng*. 2014;12:86. doi: [10.1186/2052-336x-12-86](https://doi.org/10.1186/2052-336x-12-86).
11. Nicoletti A. Toxocariasis. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2013;114:217-28. doi: [10.1016/b978-0-444-53490-3.00016-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-53490-3.00016-9).
12. Raziani Y, Sepahvand A, Masoori L, Baharvand P, Mahmoudvand H. Seroprevalence and associated risk factors of toxocariasis in children with hypereosinophilia in Iran. *Arch Razi Inst*. 2025. doi: [10.22092/ari.2024.367534.3403](https://doi.org/10.22092/ari.2024.367534.3403).
13. Ghashghaei O, Khedri J, Jahangiri-Nasr F, Hashemi SH, Nourollahi Fard S. Contamination of soil samples of public parks with *Toxocara* spp. eggs in Kermanshah, Iran. *Istanbul Univ Vet Fak Derg*. 2016;42(1):47-50.
14. El-Sayed NM, Ramadan ME. Toxocariasis in children: an update on clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and treatment. *J Pediatr Infect Dis*. 2017;12(4):222-7. doi: [10.1055/s-0037-1603496](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1603496).
15. Despommier D. Toxocariasis: clinical aspects, epidemiology, medical ecology, and molecular aspects. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2003;16(2):265-72. doi: [10.1128/cmr.16.2.265-272.2003](https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.16.2.265-272.2003).
16. Zyoud SH. Global toxocariasis research trends from 1932 to 2015: a bibliometric analysis. *Health Res Policy Syst*. 2017;15(1):14. doi: [10.1186/s12961-017-0178-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-017-0178-8).
17. Abbaszadeh Afshar MJ, Zahabiun F, Heydarian P, Mozafar Saadati H, Mohtasebi S, Khodamoradi F, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of toxocariasis in Iran: is it time to take it seriously? *Acta Parasitol*. 2020;65(3):569-84. doi: [10.2478/s11686-020-00195-1](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-020-00195-1).
18. Nasuhi S, Moshfe A, Arefkhah N, Abolghazi A, Saadatnia A. Study on the contamination of Yasuj public parks soil with *Toxocara* spp. eggs in 2021. *J Ardabil Univ Med Sci*. 2024;23(4):343-51. [Persian].
19. Tavassoli M, Hadian M, Charehsaz S, Javadi S. *Toxocara* spp. eggs in public parks of Urmia city, West Azerbaijan province Iran. *Iran J Parasitol*. 2008;3(3):24-9.
20. Paquet-Durand I, Hernández J, Dolz G, Zuñiga JJ, Schnieder T, Epe C. Prevalence of *Toxocara* spp., *Toxascaris leonina* and ancylostomidae in public parks and beaches in different climate zones of Costa Rica. *Acta Trop*. 2007;104(1):30-7. doi: [10.1016/j.actatropica.2007.06.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2007.06.011).
21. Ruiz de Ybáñez MR, Garijo MM, Alonso FD. Prevalence and viability of eggs of *Toxocara* spp. and *Toxascaris leonina* in public parks in eastern Spain. *J Helminthol*. 2001;75(2):169-73.
22. Rastgoo F, Rostae Z, Mosleh F, Hasannezhad A, Ghorbaani Barnaaji H, Abolghazi A. Study of soil contamination by *Toxocara* spp. eggs in Fasa, south of Iran from April to December 2018. *J Fasa Univ Med Sci*. 2019;9(4):1743-8. [Persian].